arXiv:math/9208201v1 [math.FA] 13 Aug 1992

Vector-valued L_p-convergence of orthogonal series and Lagrange interpolation.

H. König (Kiel)* N. J. Nielsen (Odense)†

Abstract

We give necessary and sufficient conditions for interpolation inequalities of the type considered by Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund to be true in the case of Banach space-valued polynomials and Jacobi weights and nodes. We also study the vector-valued expansion problem of L_p-functions in terms of Jacobi polynomials and consider the question of unconditional convergence. The notion of type p with respect to orthonormal systems leads to some characterizations of Hilbert spaces. It is also shown that various vector-valued Jacobi means are equivalent.

1 Introduction and results

Let X be a Banach space, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $L_p(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{X})$ denote the space of (classes of) p-th power integrable functions with norm $\| f \| := (\int_{\mathbb{R}} \| f(t) \|^p dt)^{1/p}$. A Banach space is a *UMD-space* provided that the Hilbert transform on $\mathbb{R}$,

$$Hf(t) := \quad \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(s)}{t-s} ds, \ f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{X}), \tag{1}$$

---

*Supported in part by the DFG, Ko 962/3-1.

†Supported in part by the DFG, Ki 51/98-1 and the Danish Natural Science Council, grant 11-8622.

defines a bounded operator $H: \ L_p(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\shortmid}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{X})$ for some $1 < p < \infty$. It is well-known that this holds for *some* $1 < p < \infty$ if and only if it holds for *all* $1 < p < \infty$, see e.g. Schwarz [28]. All $L_q(\mu)$-spaces with $1 < q < \infty$ or all reflexive Orlicz spaces are UMD-spaces, cf. Fernandez and Garcia [7].

Let $I = (-1,1)$, $\alpha, \beta > -1$ and $w_{\alpha\beta}(t) := (1-t)^\alpha(1+t)^\beta$ for $t \in I$. Let

$$L_p(I, w_{\alpha\beta}; X) := \{f : I \longrightarrow X \mid \parallel f \parallel_p := \parallel f \parallel_{p;\alpha,\beta} := (\int_I \parallel f(t) \parallel^p w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt)^{1/p} < \infty\}.$$

The scalar product in $L_2(I, w_{\alpha\beta}) := L_2(I, w_{\alpha\beta}; \mathbb{R})$ will be denoted by $< \cdot,\cdot >$ or $< \cdot,\cdot >_{\alpha\beta}$. For $\alpha = \beta$ we just write w_α and $< \cdot,\cdot >_\alpha$. By $\Pi_n(X)$ we denote the space of polynomials of degree $\leq n$ with coefficients in X. Let $\Pi_n := \Pi_n(\mathbb{R})$. The $L_2(I, w_{\alpha\beta})$-normalized Jacobi polynomials with respect to $(I, w_{\alpha\beta})$ will be denoted by $p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\nvdash}$. Hence $p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \Pi_n$ and

$$< p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}, p_m^{(\alpha,\beta)} >_{\alpha,\beta} = \int_I p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) p_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt \ = \ \delta_{nm}. \tag{2}$$

This normalization is more convenient for us than the standard one of Szegö [30]. For $\alpha = \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$ $(\frac{1}{2})$ one gets the Tchebychev polynomials of the first (second) kind, for $\alpha = \beta = 0$ the Legendre polynomials. Let $t_1 > \cdots > t_{n+1}$ denote the zeros of $p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, all of which are in I, and $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{n+1} > 0$ the Gaussian quadrature weights. Thus for any real polynomial q of degree $\leq 2n+1$, one has

$$\int_I q(t) w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt \quad = \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j q(t_j). \tag{3}$$

Clearly, λ_j and t_j depend on n, j, α and β but not on q. One has for $\alpha, \beta > -1$

$$\lambda_j = (2n+\alpha+\beta+3)((1-t_j^2) p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)'}(t_j)^2)^{-1} \quad \sim \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{rl} j^{2\alpha+1}/n^{2\alpha+2} & j \leq \frac{n}{2} \\ (n+2-j)^{2\beta+1}/n^{2\beta+2} & j > \frac{n}{2} \end{array} \right\} \tag{4}$$

$$1 - t_j^2 \sim (j/n)^2, \mathit{and} \quad p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)'}(t_j) \sim n^{5/2+\alpha}/j^{3/2+\alpha} \quad \text{for all } j \leq \tfrac{n}{2}. \tag{5}$$
$$p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x) = (-1)^n p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x).$$

See Szegö [30, 3.4, 4.1, 4.3, 8.9, 15.3], taking into account the different normalization there. Here $\lambda_j \sim f_j$ means that there are constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ independent of j and n such that $c_1 f_j \leq \lambda_j \leq c_2 f_j$ for all n and j concerned. For $\alpha = \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$, $\lambda_j = \pi/(n+1)$.

Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [31, ch. X] proved interpolation inequalities for trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq n$ which for even trigonometric polynomials g, after a transformation $g(x) = q(\text{cost}),\ x = \text{cost},\ q \in \Pi_n$, can be restated as

$$\frac{1}{3}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |q(t_j)|^p/(n+1))^{\frac{1}{p}} \ \leq\ (\frac{1}{2}\int_I |q(t)|^p(1-t^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dt)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ \leq\ c_p(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |q(t_j)|^p/(n+1))^{1/p}.$$

Here (t_j) are the zeros of the Jacobi polynomial $p_{n+1}^{\alpha,\beta}$ in the Tchebychev case $\alpha = \beta = -1/2$, and c_p depends on $1 < p < \infty$ only. The left inequality holds for $p = 1, \infty$ as well whereas the right one fails, in general. For $p = 2$, (3) gives more precise information since $\lambda_j = \pi/(n+1)$. The Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities extend to the Jacobi case of general $\alpha, \beta > -1$ and to the vector-valued setting in the following sense:

Theorem 1 *Let X be a Banach space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\alpha, \beta > -1$, (t_j) the zeros of $p_{n+1}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and (λ_j) the corresponding quadrature weights.*

a) There is $c > 0$ such that for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \Pi_{2n}(X)$

$$c^{-1}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \parallel q(t_j) \parallel^p)^{1/p} \leq (\int_{-1}^{1} \parallel q(t) \parallel^p w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt)^{1/p}. \tag{6}$$

b) Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\alpha,\beta) : &= \max(1, 4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha+5), 4(\beta+1)/(2\beta+5)) \\ m(\alpha,\beta) : &= \max(1, 4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha+3), 4(\beta+1)/(2\beta+3)) \end{aligned}$$

and $M(\alpha,\beta) := m(\alpha,\beta)'$, i.e. $m(\alpha,\beta)^{-1} + M(\alpha,\beta)^{-1} = 1$. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) There is $c_p > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \Pi_n(X)$

$$(\int_{-1}^{1} \| q(t) \|^p w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt)^{1/p} \leq c_p(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \| q(t_j) \|^p)^{1/p}. \qquad (7)$$

(2) X is a UMD-space and p satisfies $\mu(\alpha, \beta) < p < M(\alpha, \beta)$.

Part (a) is proved just as the scalar result which goes back to Askey [2], Nevai [19] and Zygmund [32]. The converse inequality (7) was shown in the scalar case (for $\alpha = \beta$) by Askey [2] under the more restrictive assumption $m(\alpha, \beta) < p < M(\alpha, \beta)$ using (a) and duality; the duality method, however, fails if $\mu(\alpha, \beta) < p \leq m(\alpha, \beta)$. The question whether (7) in the vector-valued case requires X to be a UMD-space was raised by Pietsch in the case of trigonometric polynomials (corresponding to $\alpha = \beta = -1/2$) and solved by him in this case by a different method [22].

In terms of Banach spaces, Theorem 1 states that the spaces $\Pi_n(X)_p$ as subspaces of $L_p(I, w_{\alpha\beta}; X)$ are uniformly isomorphic to $l_p^{n+1}(X)$-spaces, by evaluating the polynomials q at the zeros (t_j), provided that (b), (2) holds; i.e. the Banach-Mazur distances $d(\Pi_n(X)_p, l_p^{n+1}(X))$ are uniformly bounded.

For $f \in L_p(I, w_{\alpha\beta}; X)$, let $Q_n f := \sum_{j=0}^{n} < f, p_j^{\alpha,\beta} > p_j^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \Pi_n(X)_p$ denote the orthogonal projection of f onto the space of polynomials of degree $\leq n$. The following vector-valued expansion theorem for Jacobi polynomials generalizes the classical scalar result of Pollard [26] and Muckenhaupt [18].

Theorem 2 *Let X be a Banach space,* $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\alpha, \beta > -1$ *and* $m(\alpha, \beta)$ *and* $M(\alpha, \beta)$ *as before. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) For all $f \in L_p(I, w_{\alpha\beta}; X)$ $\quad Q_n f$ *converges to f in the* L_p*-norm.*

(2) X is a UMD-space and $m(\alpha, \beta) < p < M(\alpha, \beta)$.

The necessity of the UMD-condition on X will be proved using Theorem 1; the interval for p is "symmetric" with respect to $p = 2$ and smaller than the one exhibited in Theorem 1, (b). Analogues of Theorems 1 and 2 in the case of the Hermite polynomials are proved in [12]. Using the results of Gilbert [8], we also prove that various vector-valued Jacobi means are equivalent:

Proposition 3 *Let* $\alpha, \beta > -1$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* $|\frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| < \frac{1}{4}$. *Let* X *be a UMD-space. Then there is* $M = M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, p) \geq 1$ *such that for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and all* $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\int_{-1}^{1} \| \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(t) x_j \|^p \, (1-t^2)^{(\alpha+\gamma)p/2} dt)^{1/p} \\ \overset{M}{\sim} \; &(\int_{-1}^{1} \| \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j^{(\beta,\beta)}(t) x_j \|^p \, (1-t^2)^{(\beta+\gamma)p/2} dt)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Here $\overset{M}{\sim}$ means that the quotient of the two expressions is between $1/M$ and M. Instead of (α, α) and (β, β), one could consider (α_1, α_2) and (β_1, β_2) as Jacobi-indices, provided the weight functions are changed accordingly. The convergence of the Jacobi series in Theorem 2 is not unconditional unless $p = 2$ and X is a Hilbert space, as will follow from the following general result. Recall that a series $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} y_n$ in a Banach space Y converges *unconditionally* if $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_n y_n$ converges in Y for all choices of signs $\varepsilon_n = \pm 1$.

Proposition 4 *Let* (Ω, μ) *be a measure space and* (p_n) *be a complete orthonormal system in* $L_2(\Omega, \mu)$*, assumed to be infinite dimensional. Let* X *be a Banach space and* $1 \leq p < \infty$. *Assume that for all* $f \in L_p(\Omega, \mu; X)$*, the series* $\sum_n < f, p_n > p_n$ *converges unconditionally in* $L_p(\Omega, \mu; X)$. *Then:*

(i) If $\| p_j \|_2 \sim \| p_j \|_{\max(p,p')}$ *and* (Ω, μ) *is a finite measure space, one has* $p = 2$.

(ii) If $sup_j |p_j| \in L_2(\Omega, \mu)$, X *is isomorphic to a Hilbert space.*

Statement (ii) was also shown by Defant and Junge [6]. Both conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied in the Jacobi case provided that the condition $m(\alpha, \beta) < p < M(x, \beta)$ holds (necessary for convergence). Without an assumption like $\sup_j |p_j| \in L_2(\Omega, \mu)$, X is not isomorphic to a Hilbert space in general, as the Haar system shows. However, one has:

Proposition 5 *Let* $1 < p < \infty$ *and* $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be an unconditional basis of* $L_p(0,1)$. *Let* X *be a Banach space such that for any* $f \in L_p(0,1;X)$*, the series* $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} < f, p_n > p_n$ *converges unconditionally in* $L_p(0,1;X)$. *Then* X *is a UMD-space.*

The proof shows that the Haar basis is unconditional in $L_p(0,1;X)$ which by Maurey [16], Burkholder [5] and Bourgain [4] is equivalent to X being a UMD-space. It was shown by Aldous [1] that X is a UMD-space if $L_p(X)$ has an unconditional basis.

Let (Ω,μ) be a measure space and $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a complete orthonormal system in $L_2(\Omega,\mu)$. We say that a Banach space X has $(\mathbf{p_n})-\textbf{type}\ 2$ provided there is $c>0$ such that for all $m\in\mathbb{N}$ and all $x_1,\dots,x_m\in X$

$$(\int_\Omega \| \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(t)x_j \|^2 \, d\mu(t))^{1/2} \le c(\sum_{j=1}^m \| x_j \|^2)^{1/2}.$$

X has $(\mathbf{p_n})-\textbf{cotype}\ 2$ if the reverse inequality holds. In [24], Pisier showed for the Haar system (h_n), that (h_n)-type 2 of X is equivalent to X being 2-smooth, e.g. has an equivalent uniformly convex norm with modulus of convexity of power type 2. In Pisier and Xu [25] the related notion of H-type p (≤ 2) is considered for all orthonomal systems (p_n). Kwapień [13] studied this notion for the trigonometric system (e_n) in $L_2(0,2\pi)$ showing that (e_n)-type 2 (also called Fourier-type 2, $e_n(t)=exp(int)$) of X implies that X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. This result generalizes to the case of Jacobi polynomials.

Proposition 6 *Let X be a Banach space which is Jacobi $(p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)})$-type 2 for some $\alpha,\beta>-1$. Then X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space.*

The proof uses the interpolation inequalities of Theorem 1. In general, (p_n)-type 2 implies type 2 in the usual sense [17] , i.e. with respect to the Rademacher system (r_n), $r_n(t)=$ sgn $\sin 2^n\pi t$.

Proposition 7 *Let X be a Banach space which is of (p_n)-type 2 for some complete orthonormal system (p_n) in $L_2(0,1)$. Then X is of Haar type 2, hence 2-smooth and of type 2.*

There is a partial converse to this result.

Proposition 8 *Let X be a Banach space and $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset L_2(0,1)$ be a complete orthonormal system such that for any $f\in L_2(0,1;X)$ the series $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} < f,p_n > p_n$ converges unconditionally in $L_2(0,1;X)$. Then, if X has type 2, it also has (p_n)-type 2.*

It follows from Proposition 5 that the unconditionality assumption in Proposition 8 implies that the space X in question has UMD. On the other hand, if X has UMD the unconditionality assumption in Proposition 8 is satisfied for the Haar system, and thus by Pisier's result mentioned above, type 2 and UMD of X implies that X is 2-smooth. This in turn implies type 2 but does not imply the UMD-property, since by Bourgain [4] there exists a Banach lattice satisfying an upper-p and lower-q estimate and failing the UMD-property; choosing $2 < p < q < \infty$ there, such a lattice is 2-smooth, cf. [15].

2 The interpolation inequalities

For the proof of Theorem 1, we need a well-known fact about continuity in L_p, cf. Pollard [26] or Benedek, Murphy and Panzone [3]. In the scalar case, it is a special case of the theory of weighted singular integral operators with weights in the Muckenhaupt class A_p, cf. Garcia-Cuerva and de Francia [9, chap. IV].

Lemma 1 *Let X be a Banach space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k : \mathbb{R}^{\nvDash} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $k(u,v) := \;||u/v|^b - 1|/|u-v|$. Then the integral operator T_k given by $T_k f(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} k(u,v) f(v) dv$ defines a bounded operator $T_k : L_p(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{|}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{X})$ provided that $-1/p < b < 1 - 1/p$ (actually if and only if).*

Proof: We sketch the simple proof. Let $r(u,v) := |u/v|^{1/pp'}$. It suffices to show that

$$\sup_u \int_{\mathbb{R}} k(u,v)\; r(u,v)^{p'} dv \leq M, \;\sup_v \int_{\mathbb{R}} k(u,v) r(u,v)^{-p} du \;\leq\; M. \qquad (9)$$

An application of Hölder's inequality then shows that T_k is continous as a map $T_k : L_p(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{|}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{X})$ with norm $\leq M$. To check the first inequality in (9), substitute $v/u = t$ to find

$$\sup_{u \neq 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} k(u,v)\; r(u,v)^{p'} dv \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t^{-b} - 1|\; |t|^{-1/p}/|t-1| dt.$$

This is finite since integrability at 0 is assured by $b < 1 - 1/p$, and integrability at $\pm\infty$ by $b > -1/p$. Note that for $t \longrightarrow 1$, there is no singularity, the integrand tends to $|b|$. The second condition in (9) is checked similarly. $\square$

By Szegö [30, 7.32 , 4.3], for any pair of indices $\alpha, \beta > -1$, there is $c = c_{\alpha,\beta}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [-1,1]$, the L_2-normalized Jacobi polynomials $p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ satisfy the estimate

$$|p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)| \leq \; c \; (1-t+n^{-2})^{-(\alpha/2+1/4)}(1+t+n^{-2})^{-(\beta/2+1/4)} \tag{10}$$

Proof of theorem 1: We start with

(b), (2)$\Rightarrow$ (1).

Assume that X is a UMD space and that p satisfies $\mu(\alpha,\beta) < p < M(\alpha,\beta)$. Let $q \in \Pi_n(X)$ and put $y_j := q(t_j)/p'_{n+1}(t_j)$. The Lagrange functions $\ell_j \in \Pi_n$,

$$\ell_j(t) := \; p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) \; / \; (p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)\prime}(t_j)(t-t_j))$$

satisfy $\ell_j(t_i) = \delta_{ji}$ for $i, j = 1, \cdots, n+1$ and thus q coincides with its interpolating polynomial $q = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} q(t_j)\ell_j$. We have to estimate

$$L := (\int_{-1}^{1} \; \| \; q(t) \; \|^p \; w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt)^{1/p} \; = \; (\int_{-1}^{1} \; \| \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} y_j \; \frac{p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)}{t-t_j} \; \|^p \; w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt)^{1/p}$$

from above. Let $I_j = (t_j, t_{j-1})$, $|I_j| = (t_{j-1} - t_j)$ and χ_j be the characteristic function of I_j, for $j = 1, \cdots, n+1$, with $t_o := 1$. The proof relies on the fact that $1/(t-t_j)$ is sufficiently close to the Hilbert transform of $-\chi_j/|I_j|$ at t which is

$$H(-\frac{\chi_j}{|I_j|})(t) = \frac{1}{|I_j|}\log|\frac{t-t_{j-1}}{t-t_j}| = \frac{1}{|I_j|}\log|1-\frac{|I_j|}{t-t_j}|.$$

Let $J_n = [a_n, b_n]$ where

$$a_n = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \beta > -1/2 \\ -1+dn^{-2} & \text{if } \beta \leq -1/2, \end{cases}$$

$$b_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha > -1/2 \\ 1-dn^{-2} & \text{if } \alpha \leq -1/2; \end{cases}$$

and d is chosen such that $\min(1-t_1, 1+t_{n+1}) \geq 2dn^{-2}$. By [30] this is possible.

It follows from (10) that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in J_n$

$$|p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)| \leq c(1-t)^{-(\alpha/2+1/4)}(1+t)^{-(\beta/2+1/4)}. \tag{11}$$

In the following, constants $c_1, c_2, \cdots$ may depend on α, β and p, but not on n, j and t. We claim that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in J_n$

$$|p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)||\frac{1}{t-t_j} + H(\frac{\chi_j}{|I_j|})(t)| \leq f_j(t), \tag{12}$$

where

$$f_j(t) := c_1 \min(\frac{1}{|I_j|}, \frac{|I_j|}{(t-t_j)^2})(1-t)^{-(\alpha/2+1/4)}(1+t)^{-(\beta/2+1/4)}.$$

If t is such that $|t-t_j| > 2|I_j|$, (12) follows from (11) and $|x - \log(1+x)| \leq x^2$ for $|x| \leq 1/2$, i.e. $|\frac{1}{t-t_j} + H(\frac{\chi_j}{|I_j|})(t)| \leq \frac{|I_j|}{(t-t_j)^2}$. For $|t-t_j| \leq 2|I_j|$, one uses that $p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ has a zero in t_j. By the mean-value theorem there is a θ between t and t_j such that

$$|\frac{p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)}{t-t_j}| = |\frac{p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) - p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_j)}{t-t_j}| = |p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)\prime}(\theta)| \leq f_j(t),$$

using that by Szegö [30, 8.9] and (5), e.g. for $j \leq n/2$,

$$|p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)\prime}(\theta)| \leq c_3 n^{\alpha+5/2}/j^{\alpha+3/2} \sim f_j(t_j) \sim f_j(t).$$

We note that (only) for $j = 1$, the logarithmic singularity of $H(x_j/|I_j|)$ at $t = 1$ is *not* compensated by a zero of $p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ ($t_0 = 1$), but (12) is true in this case and $\alpha > -1/2$ too, since by (10) for $(1-t) \leq n^{-2}$

$$\begin{aligned} |p_n(t)H(\frac{\chi_j}{|I_j|})(t)| &\leq c_4 n^{5/2+\alpha}|\log(n^2(1-t))| \\ &\leq c_5 n^2(1-t)^{-(\alpha/2+1/4)} \end{aligned}$$

using $|\log v| \leq c_3 v^{-\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon = \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{4} > 0$ and $0 < v \leq 1$. Hence (12) holds. Applying this we find

$$L_n := (\int_{J_n} \parallel \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} y_j \frac{p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)}{t-t_j} \parallel^p w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt)^{1/p} \leq M_1 + M_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &:= c_6(\int_{J_n} \parallel H(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} y_j\ \chi_j/|I_j|)(t) \parallel^p (1-t)^{\gamma p}(1+t)^{\delta p}dt)^{1/p}, \\ M_2 &:= (\int_{J_n} (\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \parallel y_j \parallel f_j(t))^p w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\gamma := \alpha(1/p - 1/2) - 1/4$, $\delta := \beta(1/p - 1/2) - 1/4$. The restrictions on p are equivalent to $-1/p < \gamma$, $\delta < 1 - 1/p$ and $1 < p < \infty$. In particular, $|\gamma| < 1$, $|\delta| < 1$. We estimate the "main" term M_1 and the "error" term M_2 separately.

We claim that the kernel $K(t,s) := 1/(t-s)((1-t)/(1-s))^\gamma((1+t)/(1+s))^\delta$, $t, s \in [-1,1]$ defines a bounded integral operator $T_k : L_p(-1,1;X) \longrightarrow L_p(-1,1;X)$. Indeed, by lemma 1, the kernel $|((1-t)/(1-s))^\gamma - 1|/|t-s|$ defines a bounded operator $L_p(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{|}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{X})$, replacing t and s by $(1-t)$ and $(1-s)$. Since X is a UMD-space, so does $1/(t-s)$ and hence also $1/(t-s)((1-t)/(1-s))^\gamma$. Since $(\frac{1+t}{1+s})^\delta$ is bounded from above and below by positive constants for $s, t \in [0,1]$, the kernel K defines a bounded operator $T_k : L_p(0,1;X) \longrightarrow L_p(0,1;X)$. The same holds on the interval $[-1,0]$. The kernel is less singular for t and s of different sign: if $s \in [-1,0]$, $t \in [0,1]$, the substitution $s \rightarrow -s$ yields a kernel of the type $(1/(t+s))w_1(t)w_2(s)$ on $[0,1]^2$, where w_1 and w_2 are integrable over $[0,1]$ and bounded near 0. By Hardy, Littlewood and Polya [10], the kernel $1/(t+s)$ defines an operator $L_p(0,\infty) \longrightarrow L_p(0,\infty)$ of norm $\pi/sin(\pi/p)$, for any $1 < p < \infty$. Since $1/(t+s)$ is positive, this also holds for X-valued functions and hence $T_k : L_p(-1,0;X) \longrightarrow L_p(0,1;X)$ is bounded as well. The case $s \in [0,1]$, $t \in [-1,0]$ is treated similarly. Together these facts prove the claim. Hence there is a c_7 such that for all $f \in L_p(-1,1;w_{\gamma\delta};X)$

$$(\int_{-1}^1 \parallel \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(s)}{t-s}ds \parallel^p (1-t)^{\gamma p}(1+t)^{\delta p}dt)^{1/p} \leq c_7(\int_{-1}^1 \parallel f(s) \parallel^p (1-s)^{\gamma p}(1+s)^{\delta p}ds)^{1/p},$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &\leq c_6 c_7 \Big(\int_{-1}^{1} \| \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} y_j \chi_j(s)/|I_j| \, \|^p \; w_{\gamma p,\delta p}(s) ds \Big)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c_8 \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \| \, y_j \, \|^p \; /|I_j|^{p-1} w_{\gamma p,\delta p}(t_j) \Big)^{1/p} \qquad (13) \\ &\leq c_9 \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \, \| \, q(t_j) \, \|^p \Big)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

using that by (4) and (5)

$$\lambda_j \sim |p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)'}(t_j)|^{-p} |I_j|^{1-p} (1-t_j)^{\gamma p} (1+t_j)^{\delta p}.$$

The error term M_2 can be discretized in view of the monotonicity properties of the f_j's. The integration with respect to t for $|t - t_j| \leq 2|I_j|$ leads to another term M_{21} of the form (13), and $M_2 \leq M_{21} + M_{22}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} M_{22} &= c_{10} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \Big(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n+1} \| \, y_j \, \| \, |I_j|/(t_i - t_j)^2 \Big)^p |I_i| w_{\gamma p,\delta p}(t_i) \Big)^{1/p} \qquad (14) \\ &= c_{10} \Big[\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \Big(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n+1} a_{ij} \lambda_j^{1/p} \, \| \, q(t_j) \, \| \Big)^p \Big]^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{ij} = (|I_i|/\lambda_j)^{1/p} w_{\gamma,\delta}(t_i) |I_j| / (|p'_{n+1}(t_j)| (t_i - t_j)^2)$ for $i \neq j$ and γ, δ as before. We claim that $A_n = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$ defines a map $A_n : \ell_p^{n+1} \longrightarrow \ell_p^{n+1}$ with norm bounded by a C independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (14) is bounded by $c_{10} C (\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \, \| \, q(t_j) \, \|^p)^{1/p}$ as required. Calculation using (4) and (5) shows that for $i, j \leq n/2$

$$a_{ij} \sim \Big(\frac{i}{j}\Big)^\eta \frac{j^2}{(i^2 - j^2)^2}, \qquad \eta := (\alpha + 1/2)(2/p - 1).$$

The restriction on α gives that $-1/2 \leq \eta \leq 2$. This easily implies $|a_{ij}| \leq c_{11}/(i-j)^{3/2}$; for $\eta \geq 0$ or ($\eta < 0$ and $i > j/2$) one even has the bound $c_{11}/(i-j)^2$. In any case

$$\sup_{i\le n/2}\sum_{j\le n/2, i\ne j} |a_{ij}| \le C, \qquad \sup_{j\le n/2}\sum_{i\le n/2, i\ne j} |a_{ij}| \le C,$$

and hence $(a_{ij})_{i,j\le n/2}$ is uniformly bounded on $\ell_1^{[n/2]}$ and $\ell_\infty^{[n/2]}$ and thus by interpolation on $\ell_p^{[n/2]}$. The three other cases of pairs (i,j), e.g. $i > n/2 \ge j$, are treated similarly, using the assumption on β as well.

This proves (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) except for the case of $\alpha \le -1/2$ or $\beta \le -1/2$ when (11) and (12) do not hold for $t \notin J_n$. Assume e.g. $\alpha \le -1/2$. In this case, we estimate the remaining term

$$M_3 \;:=\; (\int_{b_n}^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} y_j \frac{p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)}{t-t_j} \|^p \, w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt)^{1/p}$$

by the triangle and the Hölder inequality, using (4), (5), (10) and the fact that for $t \ge b_n$ $|t-t_j|^{-1} \le d(n/j)^2$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} M_3 &\le c_{12}\; n^{-2(1+\alpha)/p}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j^{\alpha-1/2} \| x_j \|) \\ &\le c_{13}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j^{-(\alpha(2/p-1)+1/p+1/2)p'})^{1/p'}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \| x_j \|^p)^{1/p} \\ &\le c_{14}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \| x_j \|^p)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\alpha(\frac{2}{p}-1)+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{p'}$.

(b) (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). For the converse, assume the interpolation inequality (7) to be true.

We claim that (7) implies that the Hilbert matrix $A = ((i-j+1/2)^{-1})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ defines a bounded operator $A : \ell_p(X) \to \ell_p(X)$. A well-known approximation and scaling argument shows that this is equivalent to the boundedness of the Hilbert transform H in $L_p(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{X})$, i.e. X is a UMD-space and necessarily $1 < p < \infty$. In this sense A is a discrete version of H. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$

we need the zeros $(t_j^{n+1})_{j=1}^{n+1}$ of $p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $(t_i^n)_{i=1}^n$ of $p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, ordered decreasingly as before and the corresponding quadrature weights λ_j^{n+1} and λ_i^n. Let $J_n := \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid \ltimes/\ntrianglerighteq \leq \daleth \leq \nvDash\ltimes/\ntrianglerighteq\}$. For any sequence $(x_j)_{j\in J_n} \subseteq X$, consider the X-valued polynomial

$$q := \sum_{j\in J_n} \lambda_j^{-1/p} x_j \ell_j^{n+1} \in \Pi_n(X) \qquad , \qquad \ell_j^{n+1}(t_i^{n+1}) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Applying (7) to q and inequality (6) with $(n+1)$ replaced by n we find

$$(\sum_{i\in J_n} \lambda_i^n \parallel q(t_i^n) \parallel^p)^{1/p} \leq c_1(\int_{-1}^1 \parallel q(t) \parallel^p w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt)^{1/p} \leq c_2(\sum_{j\in J_n} \lambda_j^{n+1} \parallel q(t_j^n) \parallel^p)^{1/p},$$

i.e.

$$(\sum_{i\in J_n} \parallel \sum_{j\in J_n} b_{ij}x_j \parallel^p)^{1/p} \leq c_2(\sum_{j\in J_n} \parallel x_j \parallel^p)^{1/p} \tag{15}$$

with

$$b_{ij} := (\lambda_i^n/\lambda_j^{n+1})^{1/p}\ell_j^{n+1}(t_i^n) = (\lambda_i^n/\lambda_j^{n+1})^{1/p}\frac{p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_i^n)}{p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)'}(t_j^{n+1})(t_j^n - t_j^{n+1})}.$$

Let $k_n := |J_n| \sim n/2$. By (15), $B_n := (b_{ij})_{i,j\in J_n}$ satisfies $\parallel B_n : \ell_p^{k_n}(X) \longrightarrow \ell_p^{k_n}(X) \parallel \leq c_2$, c_2 being independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. B_n is close to the block $A_n := ((i-j+1/2)^{-1})_{i,j\in J_n}$ of the Hilbert matrix A. To show this we first evaluate b_{ij}. By Szegö [30, (4,5.7)]

$$(1-t^2)p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)'}(t) = ({\eta_n}'t + {\eta_n}'')(p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) - \eta_n p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t),$$

where $\eta_n, {\eta_n}', {\eta_n}'' \in \mathbb{R}$ depend on n and (α,β), with $\eta_n/n \longrightarrow 1$ for $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Hence, using (5), for $i \leq 3n/4$

$$p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_i^n) = \eta_n^{-1}(1-(t_i^n)^2)p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)'}(t_i^n) \ \sim \ (-1)^i(n/i)^{\alpha-1/2}. \tag{16}$$

Thus $b_{ij} = \gamma_i^n/(\delta_j^n n(t_i^n - t_j^{n+1}))$ where $0 < c_3 \leq |\gamma_i^n|, |\delta_j^n| \leq c_4 < \infty$ for $i,j \in J_n$ and the matrices $C_n := (c_{ij})_{i,j\in J_n}$, $c_{ij} := n^{-1}(t_i^n - t_j^{n+1})^{-1}$, are uniformly bounded on $\ell_p^{k_n}(X)$ as well. By Szegö [30, (8.9.8],

$$t_i^n = \cos\theta_i^n, \qquad \theta_i^n = \frac{(i+k+\varepsilon_{ni})\pi}{n+\alpha+1/2}, \tag{17}$$

where k depends on (α, β) only and $\sup_{i \in J_n} |\varepsilon_{ni}| \longrightarrow 0$ for $n \longrightarrow \infty$. For $k_n \times k_n$ matrices D_n and E_n, we write $D_n \approx E_n$ provided that the matices $D_n - E_n$ are uniformly bounded as maps on $\ell_p^{k_n}(X)$, for *any* $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. It suffices to show that $C_n \approx A_n$, then $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \parallel A_n : \ell_p^{k_n}(X) \longrightarrow \ell_p^{k_n}(X) \parallel \leq c_5$, i.e. X is a UMD-space. We have

$$c_{ij} = \frac{1}{2n} \frac{1}{\sin(\theta_i^n - \theta_j^{n+1})/2} \; \frac{1}{\sin(\theta_i^n + \theta_j^{n+1})/2}.$$

If

$$d_{ij} := \frac{1}{\pi(i - j + 1/2)\sin(\theta_i^n + \theta_j^{n+1})/2}, \qquad D_n := (d_{ij})_{i,j \in J_n},$$

then $C_n \approx D_n$: $1/\sin[(\theta_i^n + \theta_j^{n+1})/2]$ is uniformly bounded for $i, j \in J_n$, and hence the estimates $|1/\sin x - 1/x| \leq |x|$ for $|x| \leq \pi/4$ and $|1/x - 1/(x + \varepsilon)| \leq 2\varepsilon/x^2$ for $x = i - j + 1/2$ and $|\varepsilon| \leq 1/4$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{ij} - d_{ij}| &\leq c_6\left(\left|\frac{1}{2n\sin(\theta_i^n - \theta_j^{n+1})/2} - \frac{1}{n(\theta_j^n - \theta_j^{n+1})}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{n(\theta_i^n - \theta_j^{n+1})} - \frac{1}{\pi(i - j + 1/2)}\right|\right) \\ &\leq c_7\left(\frac{|i - j + 1/2|}{n^2} + \frac{1}{|i - j + 1/2|^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sup_i \sum_j |c_{ij} - d_{ij}| \leq c_8$, $\sup_j \sum_i |c_{ij} - d_{ij}| \leq c_8$ uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $C_n \approx D_n$ (first for $p = 1, \infty$, then by interpolation for general p). Next D_n is transformed into $E_n = (e_{ij})$ with $D_n \approx E_n$,

$$e_{ij} := \frac{1}{\pi(i - j + 1/2)\sin\frac{i+j}{2n}\pi}; \qquad i, j \in J_n.$$

By the Lipschitz continuity of $1/\sin x$ in $\pi/4 \leq x \leq 3\pi/4$,

$$|d_{ij} - e_{ij}| \leq c_9/(n|i - j + 1/2|),$$

which again is uniformly row- and column- summable, i.e. $D_n \approx E_n$. Finally, let

$$f_{ij} := \frac{1}{\pi(i - j + 1/2)\sin\frac{i}{n}\pi}, \qquad F_n := (f_{ij})_{i,j \in J_n}.$$

For $y \in [\pi/8, 3\pi/8]$, $g(x) = 1/\sin(x+y)$ is Lipschitz-continous in $x \in [\pi/8, 3\pi/8]$ with constant ≤ 2. Hence for $i, j \in J_n$

$$|e_{ij} - f_{ij}| \leq \frac{|i-j|}{n|i-j+1/2|} \leq \frac{2}{n}, \qquad E_n \approx F_n.$$

Since $(\sin(\frac{i\pi}{n})^{-1})_{i\in J_n}$ is bounded away from zero, this implies that $A_n = ((i-j+1/2)^{-1})_{i,j\in J_n}$ defines uniformly bounded maps on $\ell_p^{k_n}(X)$. Hence X is a UMD-space and $1 < p < \infty$.

We now prove that (7) implies $\mu(\alpha,\beta) < p < M(\alpha,\beta)$. This is a purely scalar argument, $X = \mathbb{R}$. By symmetry, we may assume that $\alpha \geq \beta$; the case of $\alpha \leq -1/2$ $(1 < p < \infty)$ is known already. So let $-1/2 < \alpha$. Take $q = p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \Pi_n$ in (7) to show that necessarily $p < M(\alpha,\beta) = 4\frac{\alpha+1}{2\alpha+1}$: Using the second formula of Szegö [30, 4.5.7], one shows similarly as in (16) that $|p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_i^{n+1})| \sim (n/i)^{\alpha-1/2}$ for $i \leq n/2$ and $\sim (n/(n+2-i))^{\beta-1/2}$ for $i > n/2$. Thus by (4) and Newman-Rudin [20], cf. also (10),

$$\left(\int_{-1}^{1} |p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)|^p w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt\right)^{1/p} \sim \left\{ \begin{array}{cl} 1 & p < M(\alpha,\beta) \\ (\log n)^{1/p} & p = M(\alpha,\beta) \\ n^{p(\alpha+1/2)-2(\alpha+1)} & p > M(\alpha,\beta) \end{array} \right\}, \tag{18}$$

$$\left(\sum_{j+1}^{n+1} \lambda_j |p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_j)|^p\right)^{1/p} \sim \tag{19}$$
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cl} 1 & (p < \infty \text{ and } \alpha \leq 1/2) \text{ or } (p < 4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha-1) \text{ and } \alpha > 1/2) \\ (\log n)^{1/p} & p = 4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha-1) \text{ and } \alpha > 1/2 \\ n^{(\alpha-1/2)-2/p(\alpha+1)} & p > 4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha-1) \text{ and } \alpha > 1/2 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hence for $p \geq M(\alpha,\beta)$, the order of growth (in n) in (18) is faster than in (19) and (7) cannot hold. To prove that necessarily $p > \mu(\alpha,\beta) = 4(\alpha+1)/(2\alpha+5)$ for $\alpha > 1/2$ ($\mu(\alpha,\beta) = 1$ for $\alpha \leq 1/2$), we take

$$q = \ell_1 \in \Pi_n, \qquad \ell_1(t) = p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)/((t-t_1)p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)\prime}(t_1)).$$

Clearly, the right side of (7) is $\sim \lambda_1^{1/p} \sim n^{-2/p(\alpha+1)}$ by (4) whereas the asymptotic formulas for $p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ of Szegö [30, 8.21] and (5) yield

$$\begin{aligned}(\int_{-1}^{1} |\ell_1(t)|^p w_{\alpha\beta}(t) dt)^{1/p} &\sim (\int_{0}^{1-n^{-2}} (1-t)^{\alpha - p/4(2\alpha+5)} dt)^{1/p} n^{-(\alpha+5/2)} \\ &\sim \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} n^{-(\alpha+5/2)} & p < \mu(\alpha,\beta) \\ (\log n)^{1/p} & p = \mu(\alpha,\beta) \\ n^{-2/p(\alpha,\beta)} & p > \mu(\alpha,\beta) \end{array} \right\} . \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Hence (20) grows faster in n than $\lambda_1^{1/p} \sim n^{-2/p(\alpha+1)}$ if $p \leq \mu(\alpha,\beta)$, i.e. $p > \mu(\alpha,\beta)$ is necessary for (7) to hold. This proves (b) of Theorem 1.

(a). The left interpolation inequality (6) in Theorem 1 is proved as in the scalar case. Nevai's proof in [19] using the mean value theorem, Hölder's inequality and some weighted form of Bernstein's inequality in the p-norm generalizes directly to the vector-valued setting. Just as the scalar result of Khalilova [11] and Potapov [27], the vector-valued form of the Bernstein L_p-inequality (lemma 2 in [19]) is proved by interpolating at Tchebychev nodes, using an averaging technique, the triangle inequality in L_p and the Bernstein inequality for the sup-norm. In the vector valued case the latter follows from the scalar version, applying linear functionals and using the Hahn-Banach theorem. We do not give the details, since the proofs of [19], [11] and [27] directly generalize. $\square$

Remarks.

(1). If the validity of (7) of Theorem 1 (b),

$$(\int_I \parallel q(t) \parallel^p w_{\alpha\beta}(t) dt)^{1/2} \leq c_p (\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j^{n+1} \parallel q(t_j^{n+1}) \parallel^p)^{1/p},$$

is required only for all polynomials $q \in \Pi_k(X)$ with $k \leq n/2$, this holds for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and all Banach spaces, at least if $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$. This follows from the boundedness of the generalized de la Vallée-Poussain means in $L_p(X)$ along similar lines as in Zygmund [32], Stein [29] and Askey [2]. Thus the restriction on p and X in Theorem 1 comes from requiring the number of nodes to equal the dimension of Π_n, namely $(n+1)$. In this way, however, one isomorphically identifies $\Pi_n(X) \subset L_p(X)$ with the space $\ell_p^{n+1}(X)$.

(2). The proof of the necessity of the UMD-condition for inequality (7) of Theorem 1 (b) will work for more general orthogonal polynomials provided that sufficiently precise information on a fairly large part of the zeros of these is known, like in (17).

The restriction $p < M(\alpha,\beta)$ means geometrically (for $\alpha > -1/2$) that the value $|p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_1^{n+1})|^p$ is much smaller than the dominating mean value of $|p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)|^p$ over $(t_1^{n+1},1)$ with respect to $w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt$, if $p \geq M(\alpha,\beta)$.

An immediate corollary to Theorem 1 is the following result on the convergence of interpolating polynomials which in the scalar case is due to Askey [2] and Nevai [19].

Proposition 9 *Let X be a UMD-space, $\alpha,\beta > -1$ and $p < M(\alpha,\beta)$. Let $f : (-1,1) \longrightarrow X$ be continuous. Then the interpolating polynomials of f at the zeros $(t_j)_1^{n+1}$ of $p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, $I_n f := \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} f(t_j)\ell_j \in \Pi_n(X)$, converge to f in the p-norm,*

$$\| f - I_n f \|_{p;\alpha,\beta} = (\int_{-1}^{1} \| f(t) - I_n f(t) \|^p \, w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt)^{1/p} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof: Approximate f by polynomials $q_n \in \Pi_n(X)$ in the sup-norm, $\| f - q_n \|_\infty \longrightarrow 0$. We may assume that $\mu(\alpha,\beta) < p < M(\alpha,\beta)$, since the p-norms get weaker for smaller p. Using (b) of Theorem 1 and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j = \int_{-1}^{1} w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt =: M < \infty,$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} \| f - I_n f \|_{p;\alpha,\beta} &\leq \| f - q_n \|_{p;\alpha,\beta} + \| q_n - I_n f \|_{p:\alpha,\beta} \\ &\leq M^{1/p} \| f - q_n \|_\infty + c_p (\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \| q_n(t_j) - f(t_j) \|^p)^{1/p} \\ &\leq (1 + c_p) M^{1/p} \| f - q_n \|_\infty \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

3 Convergence of vector-valued Jacobi series

Proof of Theorem 2: Recall that $Q_n f := \sum_{j=0}^{n} < f, p_j^{(\alpha,\beta)} > p_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ for $f \in L_p(I, w_{\alpha,\beta}; X)$. Thus Q_n is the integral operator induced by the ker-

nel $k_n(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) p_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)$ with respect to the measure $d\mu(t) = w_{\alpha\beta}(t)dt$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). We sketch the straightforward generalization of the scalar proof of Pollard [26] and Muckenhaupt [18] to the UMD-case. Since $Q_n f \to f$ on the dense set of X-valued polynomials f, (1) of Theorem 2 is equivalent to

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \| Q_n : L_p(I, w_{\alpha,\beta}; X) \longrightarrow L_p(I, w_{\alpha\beta}, X) \| = c_p < \infty. \tag{21}$$

Using the Christoffel-Darboux formula for k_n and the classical analysis of Pollard [26], (21) will follow from the uniform boundedness of the integral operators T_{n1}, T_{n2}, T_{n3} induced by the following kernels as maps in $L_p(I, w_{\alpha\beta}; X)$:

$$k_{n1}(x,y) := p_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) q_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)/(x-y) \quad , \quad q_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) := (1-y^2) p_n^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(y)$$

$$k_{n2}(x,y) := k_{n1}(y,x) \quad , \quad k_{n3}(x,y) := p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y).$$

The proof of the uniform boundedness of T_{n1} and T_{n2} is similar to the proof of Theorem 1, (b), (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). On the intervals J_n defined there (for $\alpha, \beta \geq -1/2$, $J_n = I$), T_{n1} and T_{n2} are uniformly bounded in p-norm provided that the weighted Hilbert transform kernels $(x-y)^{-1}(w_{\alpha\beta}(x)w_{\alpha\beta}(y))^{1/2}((1-x^2)/(1-y^2))^{\pm 1/4}$ (+ for T_{n2}, - for T_{n1}) define bounded operators on $L_p(I;X)$, as follows from (10) the same way as in (b), (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). In view of the UMD-assumption on X, this will follow from the boundedness of the kernel operator defined by

$$\frac{1}{|x-y|} |(w_{\alpha\beta}(x)w_{\alpha\beta}(y))^{1/2}((1-x^2)/(1-y^2))^{\pm 1/4} - 1|$$

on $L_p(I;X)$. Using again lemma 1, the latter fact is a consequence of

$$-\frac{1}{p} < \alpha(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}) \pm \frac{1}{4}, \beta(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}) \pm \frac{1}{4} < 1 - \frac{1}{p},$$

i.e. $m(\alpha,\beta) < p < M(\alpha,\beta)$. If e.g. $\alpha < -1/2$, the part of $\| T_{ni} f \|_p$, $i \in \{1,2\}$, on the interval $(1-n^{-2}, 1)$ outside J_n has to be estimated separately. However, $p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $q_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ are uniformly bounded in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there, and a direct application of the continuity of the (unweighted) Hilbert transform suffices. The uniform boundedness of T_{n3} follows from $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \| p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} \|_p \| p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} \|_{p'} < \infty$ if $m(\alpha,\beta) < p < M(\alpha,\beta)$.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume that $Q_n f \longrightarrow f$ for all $f \in L_p(I, w_{\alpha\beta}; X)$. By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, this is equivalent to (21). Using (21), we prove (7) of Theorem 1, which then implies that X is an UMD-space and, in view of the self-duality of (21), that $m(\alpha,\beta) < p < M(\alpha,\beta)$. To show (7), we dualize (6) which holds for all X and p. Let $q \in \Pi_n(X)$. Then there is a $g \in L_{p'}(I, w_{\alpha\beta}; X^*)$ which $\| g \|_{p';\alpha,\beta} = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} J := (\int_{-1}^{1} \| q(t) \|^p \, w_{\alpha\beta}(t) dt)^{1/p} &= \int_{-1}^{1} < q(t), g(t) >_{(X,X^*)} w_{\alpha\beta}(t) dt \\ &= \int_{-1}^{1} < q(t), Q_n g(t) >_{(X,X^*)} w_{\alpha\beta}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $< q, Q_n g > \in \Pi_{2n}$, Gaussian quadrature, Hölder's inequality and (6) as well as (the dual form of) (21) yield

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j < q(t_j), Q_n g(t_j) > \\ &\leq (\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \| Q_n g(t_j) \|_{X^*}^{p'})^{1/p'} (\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \| q(t_j) \|_X^p)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c \, \| Q_n g \|_{p';\alpha,\beta} \, (\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \| q(t_j) \|^p)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c \, c_p (\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \| q(t_j) \|^p)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

which is (7). □

We turn to the equivalence of vector-valued Jacobi means.

Proof of proposition 3: For $\alpha > -1$ and $w_\alpha = w_{\alpha,\alpha}$, the map $\psi : L_2(I, w_\alpha; X) \longrightarrow L_2(0,\pi; X)$ defined by

$$\psi(g)(s) = (\sin s)^{\alpha+1/2} g(\cos s) \qquad g \in L_2(I, w_\alpha; X), \qquad s \in [0,\pi],$$

is an isometry. Let $q_n^{(\alpha)} := \psi(p_n^{(\alpha,\alpha)})$ and

$$\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t,s) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} q_j^{(\alpha)}(t) q_j^{(\beta)}(s); \qquad \alpha, \beta > -1.$$

These kernels induce uniformly bounded operators on $L_p(0,\pi;X)$ for any $1<p<\infty$, e.g. there is c_p such that for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $h\in L_p(0,\pi;X)$

$$(\int_0^\pi \| \int_0^\pi \mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t,s)h(s)ds \|^p\, dt)^{1/p} \le c_p(\int_0^\pi \| h(s) \|^p\, ds)^{1/p}. \tag{22}$$

This follows from the proofs of Theorem 1 and 3 of Gilbert [8]: The scalar proof given there directly generalizes to the X-valued UMD-case since only the L_p-uniform boundedness of the Dirichlet and conjugate Dirichlet kernel operators is used, which holds X-valued for UMD-spaces. In effect, $\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is shown in [8] to behave very similar to the Dirichlet kernel. In particular

$$|\mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t,s)| \le d_1/|t-s| \tag{23}$$

where d_1 is independent of $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $t,s\in[0,\pi]$. We claim that also

$$(\int_0^\pi \| \int_0^\pi \mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t,s)(\sin t/\sin s)^{\gamma+1/p-1/2}h(s)ds \|^p\, dt)^{1/p} \le c_p{}'(\int_0^\pi \| h(s) \|^p\, ds)^{1/p}, \tag{24}$$

provided that $|\gamma/2+1/p-1/2|<1/4$. By (22), this will follow from the uniform boundedness of the difference kernel operators

$$\mathcal{L}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t,s) := \mathcal{K}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t,s)((\sin t/\sin s)^{\gamma+1/p-1/2}-1)$$

in $L_p(0,\pi;X)$. Using (23) and elementary estimates we obtain the existence of a d_2 such that for $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $t,s\in[0,\pi/2]$

$$|\mathcal{L}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t,s)| \le \frac{d_1}{|t-s|}|(\frac{\sin t}{\sin s})^{\gamma+1/p-1/2}-1| \le \frac{d_2}{|t-s|}|(\frac{t}{s})^{\gamma+1/p-1/2}-1|.$$

Hence by lemma 1, the $\mathcal{L}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$-kernels define uniformly bounded integral operators in $L_p(0,\pi/2;X)$ since $-1/p<\gamma+1/p-1/2<1-1/p$. On $(\pi/2,\pi)$, the estimate is similar; for $t\in[\pi/2,\pi], s\in[0,\pi/2]$, there are only point singularities and the transformation $t\to\pi-t$ reduces the $\mathcal{L}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$-boundedness to the one of the positive kernel $1/(t+s)$ in $L_p(0,\pi/2;X)$. Hence (24) holds.

For functions $f\in L_p(I,w_{(\beta+\gamma)p/2};X)$ on the interval $I=(-1,1)$ and the kernel

$$k_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) := \sum_{j=0}^n p_j^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x)p_j^{(\beta,\beta)}(y),$$

(24) is equivalent to

$$(\int_{-1}^{1} \| \int_{-1}^{1} k_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) f(y) w_\beta(y) dy \|^p \, w_{(\alpha+\gamma)p/2}(x) dx)^{1/p}$$
$$\leq {c_p}' \int_{-1}^{1} \| f(y) \|^p \, w_{(\beta+\gamma)p/2}(y) dy)^{1/p} \qquad (25)$$

as the transformation $h(s) = (\sin s)^{\beta+\gamma+1/p} f(\cos s)$ shows. Applying (25) to $f(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j^{(\beta,\beta)}(y) x_j$, where $x_j \in X$, yields a one-sided estimate of (8); the converse direction follows from the symmetry of the statement in α and β. The argument also shows that the convergence of the series $\sum_j p_j^{(\alpha,\alpha)} \otimes x_j$ in $L_p(I, w_{(\alpha+\gamma)p/2}; X)$ is equivalent to the convergence of the series $\sum_j p_j^{(\beta,\beta)} \otimes x_j$ in $L_p(I, w_{(\beta+\gamma)p/2}; X)$, provided that $|\gamma/2 + 1/p - 1/2| < 1/4$. □

The choice of $p = 2$ and $\gamma = 0$ shows that the means

$$(\int_{-1}^{1} \| \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(t) x_j \|^2 \, w_\alpha(t) dt)^{1/2}$$

are essentially independent of α, the choice of $\gamma = 0$ for $4/3 < p < 4$ shows a similar statement for the means

$$(\int_{-1}^{1} \| \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(t) x_j \|^p \, w_{\alpha p/2}(t) dt)^{1/p}.$$

4 Unconditional convergence

We now show that under the conditions of Proposition 4, vector-valued convergence of orthonormal series is unconditional only in the case of Hilbert spaces.

Proof of Proposition 4:

(i). Let (Ω, μ) be a finite measure space and (p_n) be a complete orthonormal system in $L_2(\Omega, \mu)$ such that $\sum_n < f, p_n > p_n$ converges unconditionally for all $f \in L_p(\Omega, \mu)$. By duality, the same holds in $L_{p'}(\Omega, \mu)$. Thus we may assume that $p \geq 2$. Using the unconditionality and the Khintchine inequality, we find for any finite sequence $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{K}$

$$\sum_n |a_n|^2 = \| \sum_n a_n p_n \|_2^2 \;\; \leq \;\; c_1 \| \sum_n a_n p_n \|_p^2$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq c_2(\int_0^1 \int_\Omega |\sum_n a_n r_n(t) p_n(w)|^p d\mu(w)dt)^{2/p} \\
&\leq c_3(\int_\Omega (\sum_n |a_n|^2 |p_n(w)|^2)^{p/2} d\mu(w))^{2/p} \\
&\leq c_3 \sum_n (\int_\Omega |a_n|^p |p_n(w)|^p d\mu(w))^{2/p} \\
&= c_3 \sum_n |a_n|^2 \parallel p_n \parallel_p^2 \leq c_3 \sup_n \parallel p_n \parallel_p^2 \sum_n |a_n|^2 \\
&\leq c_4 \sum_n |a_n|^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the triangle inequality in $L_{p/2}$ and the assumption on $\parallel p_n \parallel_p$.

Hence $\parallel \sum_n a_n p_n \parallel_p \sim \parallel (a_n) \parallel_{l_2}$, which implies $p = 2$ since (p_n) was assumed to be a *complete* orthonormal system and $L_p(\Omega, \mu) \sim \ell_2$ only for $p = 2$. Thus (i) holds, even for $X = \mathbb{K}$.

(ii). We give a modification of the argument of Defant and Junge [6]. Let $x_1, \cdots x_m \in X$. By the unconditionality assumption on the (p_j), the hypothesis that $\sup_j |p_j| \in L_2(\Omega, \mu)$, and the contraction principle, cf. Maurey and Pisier [17] we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\int_\Omega \parallel \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(w) x_j \parallel^2 d\mu(w))^{1/2} &\leq c_1(\int_\Omega \int_0^1 \parallel \sum_{j=1}^m r_j(t) p_j(w) x_j \parallel^2 dt d\mu(w))^{1/2} \\
&\leq c_2(\int_\Omega (\sup_j |p_j(w)|^2)(\int_0^1 \parallel \sum_{j=1}^m r_j(t) x_j \parallel^2 dt) d\mu(w))^{1/2} \\
&\leq c_3(\int_0^1 \parallel \sum_{j=1}^m r_j(t) x_j \parallel^2 dt)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let (γ_j) be a sequence of independent standard $N(0,1)$ Gaussian variables on a probability space (Γ, ν). By Pisier [23] with $c_4 = \sqrt{\pi/2}\, c_3$

$$
(\int_\Omega \parallel \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(w) x_j \parallel^2 d\mu(w))^{1/2} \leq c_4(\int_\Gamma \parallel \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j(s) x_j \parallel^2 d\nu(s))^{1/2} \qquad (26)
$$

Since $L_2(\Omega,\mu)$ is infinite dimensional, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a unitary map
$U : L_2(\Omega,\mu) \longrightarrow L_2(\Omega,\mu)$ such that with $f_j := Up_j$ the functions $f_1, \cdots f_n$ are mutually disjointly supported. Let $f_k = \sum_j u_{jk} p_j \in L_2(\Omega,\mu)$, (u_{jk}) unitary. Applying (26) for arbitrary $y_1, \cdots y_n \in X$ with $x_j := \sum_{k=1}^n u_{jk} y_k$ we find using the unitary invariance of the right side of (26)

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sum_{k=1}^n \| y_k \|^2)^{1/2} &= (\int_\Omega \| \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(w) y_k \|^2 \, d\mu(w))^{1/2} \\
&= (\int_\Omega \| \sum_j p_j(w) x_j \|^2 \, d\mu(w))^{1/2} \\
&\le c_4 (\int_\Gamma \| \sum_j \gamma_j(s) x_j \|^2 \, d\nu(s))^{1/2} \\
&= c_4 (\int_\Gamma \| \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k(s) y_k \|^2 \, d\nu(s))^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. X has cotype 2. Similarly, the converse inequality to (26) will imply that X has type 2 and thus by Kwapień [13] that X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. By Maurey and Pisier [17], the Gaussian and the Rademacher means are equivalent since X has cotype 2. Using this and Kahane's inequality [15], we get for any $x_1, \cdots x_m \in X$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\int_\Gamma \| \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j(s) x_j \|^2 \, d\nu(s))^{1/2} &\le c_5 (\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^m r_j(t) x_j \|^2 \, dt)^{1/2} \\
&\le c_6 \int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^m r_j(t) x_j \| \, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\| p_j \|_2 = 1$, the contraction principle, the Hölder inequality and the unconditionality assumption yield similarly as in Defant and Junge [6], cf. also Pisier [23], that this is

$$= c_6 \int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^m (\int_\Omega |p_j(w)|^2 d\mu(w)) r_j(t) x_j \| \, dt$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq c_7 \int_0^1 \int_\Omega (\sup_j |p_j(w)|) \parallel \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(w) r_j(t) x_j \parallel d\mu(w) dt \\
&\leq c_7 \parallel \sup_j |p_j| \parallel_{L_2(\Omega,\mu)} (\int_\Omega \int_0^1 \parallel \sum_{j=1}^m r_j(t) p_j(w) x_j \parallel^2 dt d\mu(w))^{1/2} \\
&\leq c_8 (\int_\Omega \parallel \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(w) x_j \parallel^2 d\mu(w))^{1/2},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. the converse to (26) holds. Hence X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. □

As a corollary we find

Proposition 10 *Let $\alpha, \beta > -1$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and X be a Banach space. Assume that for all $f \in L_p(I, w_{\alpha\beta}; X)$, the Jacobi series $\sum_{n=0}^\infty < f, p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} > p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ converges unconditionally in $L_p(I, w_{\alpha\beta}; X)$. Then $p = 2$ and X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space: the expansions converge unconditionally precisely in the Hilbert space situation.*

Proof: By Theorem 2, necessary for convergence is $m(\alpha, \beta) < p < M(\alpha, \beta)$. For these values of p, the inequality (10) yields e.g. if $p > 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\parallel p_j^{(\alpha,\beta)} \parallel_{p;\alpha,\beta} &\leq c_1 \int_{-1}^1 (1-t)^{\alpha(1-p/2)-p/4} (1+t)^{\beta(1-p/2)-p/4} dt \\
&= c_2 = c_2 \parallel p_j^{(\alpha,\beta)} \parallel_{2;\alpha,\beta} < \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\parallel \sup_j |p_j^{(\alpha,\beta)}| \parallel_{2;\alpha,\beta} \leq c_3 (\int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt)^{1/2} = c_4.
$$

Thus $p = 2$ and X is a Hilbert space by Proposition 4. □

The unconditionality of the Haar system in $L_p(0,1;X)$, if $1 < p < \infty$ and X is an UMD-space, shows that Proposition 4 does not hold without conditions being imposed on the system (p_j) as done in (i), (ii) there.

For the proof of Propositions 5 and 7, we need the following result due to Lindenstrauss and Pełczyński [14, proof of Theorem 4.2] and Olevskii [21].

Theorem 11 *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a basis of $L_p(0,1)$. Let $(h_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ denote the Haar system on $[0,1]$, normalized by $\parallel h_j \parallel_p = 1$. For any $0 < \delta <$*

1 *there is a block basis sequence* $(z_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of* $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *such that for every* $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *there is a measure preserving automorphism* $\varphi_N : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ *with*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \| z_j^* \|_{p'} \| h_j o \varphi_N - z_j \|_p \leq \delta,$$

where $(z_j^*) \subset L_{p'}(0,1)$ *is biorthogonal to* $(z_j) \subset L_p(0,1)$.

Thus for some increasing sequence $(m_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_{\nvdash}}$ of integers and scalars $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$

$$z_j = \sum_{n=m_{j-1}+1}^{m_j} a_n p_n$$

is in the above sense close to the Haar system.

Proof of Proposition 5. We will show that the Haar system is unconditional in $L_p(0,1;X)$. Then, by Maurey [16] X has to be a UMD-space, using also the results of [4] and [5].

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \delta < 1$ and $x_1, \cdots, x_N \in X$. Let φ_N be as in the theorem and put $g_j := h_j o \varphi_N$. Since $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is unconditional in $L_p(0,1;X)$ by assumption, so is the block basic sequence $(z_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$. Hence for any sequence of signs $(\varepsilon_j), \varepsilon_j \in \{+1,-1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon_j h_j(t) x_j \|^p \, dt)^{1/p} &= (\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon_j g_j(t) x_j \|^p \, dt)^{1/p} \\
&\leq (\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon_j z_j(t) x_j \|^p \, dt)^{1/p} + (\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon_j (g_j(t) - z_j(t)) x_j \|^p \, dt \\
&\leq K(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^{N} z_j(t) x_j \|^p \, dt)^{1/p} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \| g_j - z_j \|_p \| x_j \| \\
&\leq (K+\delta)(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^{N} z_j(t) x_j \|^p \, dt)^{1/p},
\end{aligned}$$

using that $x_j = < z_j^*, \sum_{k=1}^N z_k x_k >$ and hence

$$\| x_j \| \leq \| z_j^* \|_p \, (\int_0^1 \| \sum_{k=1}^{N} z_k(t) x_k \|^p \, dt)^{1/p}.$$

The constant K is independent of N, (x_j) and (ε_j). The chain of inequalities can be reversed with all $\varepsilon_j = +1$ to find

$$\begin{aligned}(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^N \varepsilon_j h_j(t) x_j \|^p \, dt)^{1/p} &\leq (K+\delta)(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^N z_j(t) x_j \|^p \, dt)^{1/p} \\ &\leq (K+\delta)(1+\delta)(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^N h_j(t) x_j \|^p \, dt)^{1/p},\end{aligned}$$

i.e. the Haar system is unconditional. □

A similar procedure is used in the

Proof of Proposition 7: Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \cdots x_N \in X$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. With the same notation as in the previous proof,

$$(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^N h_j(t) x_j \|^2 \, dt)^{1/2} \leq (1+\delta)(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^N z_j(t) x_j \|^2 \, dt)^{1/2}.$$

with $z_j = \sum_{n=m_{j-1}+1}^{m_j} a_n p_n$ and $(m_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}\nvdash} \subset \mathbb{N}$ increasing. Note that

$$(\sum_{n=m_{j-1}+1}^{m_j} |a_n|^2)^{1/2} = \| z_j \|_2 \leq (1+\delta) \| h_j \|_2 = (1+\delta).$$

Thus, using that X has (p_n)-type 2, there is K independent of $x_1, \cdots x_N \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^N h_j(t) x_j \|^2 \, dt)^{1/2} &\leq (1+\delta)(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^N (\sum_{n=m_{j-1}+1}^{m_j} a_n p_n(t)) x_j \|^2 \, dt)^{1/2} \\ &\leq K(1+\delta)(\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n=m_{j-1}+1}^{m_j} |a_n|^2 \| x_j \|^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq K(1+\delta)^2 (\sum_{j=1}^N \| x_j \|^2)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that X has “Haar-type 2” which directly implies type 2 since the Rademacher functions form a block basis of the Haar functions,

$$r_k = \sum_{j=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} t_j h_j \ , \ \sum_{j=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} |t_j|^2 = 1.$$

where $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}_{\nvdash}} \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a suitable increasing sequence. Hence for any sequence $y_1, \cdots, y_\ell \in X$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} r_k(t) y_k \|^2 \, dt)^{1/2} &= (\int_0^1 \| \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (\sum_{j=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} t_j h_j(t)) y_k \|^2 \, dt)^{1/2} \\
&\leq K(1+\delta)^2 (\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (\sum_{j=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} |t_j|^2) \| y_k \|)^{1/2} \\
&= K(1+\delta)^2 (\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \| y_k \|^2)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We note that if conversely X has type 2, one has as estimate of the Rademacher against the Haar mean, i.e. there is a constant C such that for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $(y_k)_{k=1}^{\ell} \subseteq X$:

$$\int_0^1 \| \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} r_k(t) y_k \|^2 \, dt \leq C \int_0^1 \| \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} h_k(t) y_k \|^2 \, dt.$$

Indeed, this statement is equivalent to the existence of a constant C_1, so that for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $f \in L_2(0,1;X)$

$$(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} r_k(t) < f, h_k > \|^2)^{1/2} \leq C_1 (\| f \|_2)^{1/2}$$

Let $f \in L_2(0,1;X)$ be of the form $f := \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \otimes f_j$, where $(f_j)_{j=1}^{n} \subseteq L_2(0,1)$ is a finite sequence of normalized, mutually disjointly supported functions and $(x_j)_{j=1}^{n} \subseteq X$. Since the set of such functions is a dense subspace of $L_2(0,1;X)$ it suffices to prove the inequality for those.

Let (γ_j) be a sequence of standard independent $N(0,1)$ Gaussian variables on a probability space (Γ, ν) and let M be the type 2 constant of X. Since for every $x^* \in X^*$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} |x^*(< f, h_k >)|^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} | < x^* f, h_k > |^2 \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |x^*(f(t))|^2 dt \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x^*(x_j)|^2,\end{aligned}$$

it follows from the unitary invariance of the γ_j's (see e.g. [17]) that

$$\int_\Gamma \| \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \gamma_k(s) < f, h_k >\|^2 \, d\nu(s) \leq \int_\Gamma \| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_j(s) x_j \|^2 \, d\nu(s).$$

Combining this with the fact that since X is of type 2 the Rademacher and the Gauss means are K-equivalent for a suitable K, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} r_k(t) < f, h_k >\|^2 \, dt)^{1/2} &\leq K(\int_\Gamma \| \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \gamma_k(s) < f, h_k >\|^2 \, d\nu(s))^{1/2} \\ &\leq K(\int_\Gamma \| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_j(s) x_j \|^2 \, d\nu(s))^{1/2} \\ &\leq KM(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \| x_j \|^2)^{1/2} = \| f \|_2,\end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

The partial converse of Proposition 7 follows easily:

Proof of Proposition 8: Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \cdots x_N \in X$. By the unconditionality assumption on the (p_n)-system in $L_2(0,1;X)$ and the type property of X there are constants c_1, c_2 independent of N and $x_1, \cdots x_N \in X$ such that

$$(\int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j(t) x_j \|^2 \, dt)^{1/2} \quad \leq \quad c_1(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \| \sum_{j=1}^{N} r_j(s) p_j(t) x_j \|^2 \, ds dt)^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \quad c_2\Big(\int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^N |p_j(t)|^2 \parallel x_j \parallel^2 dt\Big)^{1/2} \\ &= c_2 \quad \Big(\sum_{j=1}^N \parallel x_j \parallel^2\Big)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence X has (p_n)-type 2. $\square$

We still have to show that in certain cases X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space provided that it only has (p_n)-type 2. This will be another application of the interpolation inequalities of Theorem 1.

Proof of Proposition 6: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(t_j)_{j=1}^{n+1}$ denote the Gaussian quadrature weights. The $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ matrix $A_n = (a_{ij})$ defined by $a_{jk} := \sqrt{\lambda_j}\, p_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_j), j = 1, \cdots, n+1, k = 0, \cdots, n$ is orthogonal since by Gaussian quadrature for $k, \ell \in 0, \cdots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{k\ell} &= \int_{-1}^1 p_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) p_\ell^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) w_{\alpha\beta}(t) dt \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j p_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_j) p_\ell^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_{jk} a_{j\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the measure space $(I, w_{\alpha\beta})$ is equivalent to $(0,1)$, we know from Proposition 7 that X has type 2. We will now show that X also has cotype 2 and hence by Kwapień [13] is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. We use Theorem 1 (a) to discretize the notion of $(p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)})$-type 2 and reverse the inequality using the orthogonality of the matrix A_n appearing in this way: By Theorem 1 and the $(p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)})$-type 2 property there are c_1, c_2 such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_0, \cdots, x_n \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \parallel \sum_{k=0}^n a_{jk} x_k \parallel^2\Big)^{1/2} &= \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \parallel \sum_{k=0}^n p_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_j) x_k \parallel^2\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c_1\Big(\int_{-1}^1 \parallel \sum_{k=0}^n p_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) x_k \parallel^2 w_{\alpha\beta}(t) dt\Big)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \quad (\sum_{k=0}^{n} \| x_k \|^2)^{1/2}. \tag{27}$$

Since $A_n^{-1} = {A_n}^t$, we can invert (27) easily: starting with arbitrary $y_1, \cdots, y_{n+1} \in X$ and applying (27) to $x_k := \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} a_{\ell k} y_\ell$, $k \in 0, \cdots, n$, we find

$$(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \| y_j \|^2)^{1/2} \leq c_2 (\sum_{k=0}^{n} \| \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} a_{\ell k} y_\ell \|^2)^{1/2}.$$

If the A_n were symmetric, this and Theorem 1 (a) would yield the cotype 2 property. However, $A_n \neq {A_n}^t$, in general. To prove the cotype 2 property, we replace y_j by $r_j(s) y_j$ and apply the contraction principle to find

$$\begin{aligned}(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \| y_j \|^2)^{1/2} &\leq c_2 (\sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_0^1 \| \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} a_{\ell k} r_\ell(s) y_\ell \|^2 \, ds)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c_3 (\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sup_{\ell \leq n+1} |a_{\ell k}|^2)^{1/2} (\int_0^1 \| \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} r_\ell(s) y_\ell \|^2 \, ds)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence X will have cotype 2 provided that $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sup_{\ell \leq n+1} |a_{\ell k}|^2$ is uniformly bounded in $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is correct since by (4) and (10) e.g. if $\ell \leq n/2$

$$\sqrt{\lambda_\ell} \sim \ell^{\alpha+1/2} / n^{\alpha+1} \ , \ |p_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t_\ell)| \lesssim (n/\ell)^{\alpha+1/2}$$

$$|a_{\ell k}| = \sqrt{\lambda_\ell} \, |p_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)| \lesssim n^{-1/2}$$

The case of $\ell > n/2$ is similar. By the result of Kwapień, used earlier, X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. □

References

[1] D. J. Aldous; *Unconditional bases and martingales in $L_p(F)$*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 85 (1979),117 - 123.

[2] R. Askey; *Mean convergence of orthogonal series and Lagrange interpolation*, Acta Math. Sci. Hungar. 23 (1972), 71 - 85.

[3] A. I. Benedek, E. R. Murphy, R. Panzone; *Cuestions del analisis de Fourier*, Notas de Algebra y Analysis 5 (1974), Univ. Bahia Blanca, Argentina.

[4] J. Bourgain; *Some remarks on Banach spaces in which martingale difference sequences are unconditional*, Arkiv för Matematik 21 (1983), 163 - 168.

[5] D. L. Burkholder; *A geometrical condition that implies the existence of certain singular integrals of Banach-space-valued functions*, Proc. Conf. Harm. Anal., Univ. of Chicago, 1981, 271 - 286.

[6] M. Defant, M. Junge; *Unconditional orthonormal systems*, preprint, Kiel, 1991.

[7] D. L. Fernandez, J. B. Garcia; *Interpolation of Orlicz-valued function spaces and U.M.D. property*, Stud. Math. 99 (1991), 23 - 39.

[8] J. E. Gilbert; *Maximal theorems for some orthogonal series I*, Transact. AMS 145 (1969), 495 - 515.

[9] J. Garcia-Cuerva, J. L. de Francia; *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North Holland, 1985.

[10] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Polya; *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1973.

[11] B. Khalilova; *On some estimates for polynomials* , Izv. Akad. Nauk Azerb. SSR 2 (1974), 46 - 55 (Russian)

[12] H. König; *Vector-valued Lagrange interpolation and mean convergence of Hermite series*, preprint, Kiel, 1992.

[13] S. Kwapień; *Isomorphic characterizations of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector-valued coefficients*, Stud. Math. 44 (1972), 583 - 595.

[14] J. Lindenstrauss, A. Pełczyński; *Contributions to the theory of the classical Banach spaces*, Journ. Funct. Anal. 8 (1971), 225 - 249.

[15] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri; *Classical Banach spaces II*, Springer, 1979.

[16] B. Maurey; *Système de Haar*, Séminaire Maurey-Schwarz 1974/75, exp. I, II.

[17] B. Maurey, G. Pisier; *Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach*, Stud. Math. 58 (1976), 45 - 90.

[18] B. Muckenhaupt; *Mean convergence of Jacobi series*, Proc. AMS 23 (1969), 306 - 310.

[19] G. P. Nevai; *Mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation I*, Journ. Appr. Th. 18 (1976), 363 - 376.

[20] J. Newman, W. Rudin; *Mean convergence of orthogonal series*, Proc. AMS 3 (1952), 219 - 222.

[21] A. M. Olevskii; *Fourier series and Lebesgue functions*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 22 (1967), 237 - 239 (Russian).

[22] A. Pietsch; *Personal communication*

[23] G. Pisier; *Type des espaces normés*, Compt. Rendues A 276 (1973), 1973 - 1976.

[24] G. Pisier; *Martingales with values in uniformly convex spaces*, Israel Journ. Math. 20 (1975), 326 - 350.

[25] G. Pisier, Q. Xu; *The strong p-th variation of martingales and orthogonal series*, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields 77 (1988), 497 - 524.

[26] H. Pollard; *Mean convergence for orthogonal series: II*, Transact AMS 63 (1948), 355 - 367 and *III*, Duke Journ. Math. 16 (1949), 189 - 191.

[27] M. K. Potapov; *Some inequalities for polynomials and their derivatives*, Vestnik Mosk. Univ. Mat. Mek. 2 (1960), 10 - 20 (Russian).

[28] J. Schwartz; *A remark om inequalities of Calderon-Zygmund type for vector-valued functions*, Comm. Pures Appl. Math. 14 (1961), 785 - 799.

[29] E. M. Stein; *Interpolation in polynomial classes and Markoff's inequality*, Duke J. Math. 24 (1957), 467 - 476.

[30] G. Szegö; *Orthogonal polynomials*, AMS, Providence, 1959.

[31] A. Zygmund; *Trigonometric series*, Cambridge University Press, 1968.

[32] A. Zygmund; *A property of the zeros of the Legendre polynomials*, Transact. AMS 54 (1943), 39 - 56.

Hermann König
Mathematisches Seminar
Universität Kiel
Ludewig Meyn Str. 4
23 Kiel
Germany

Niels Jørgen Nielsen
Matematisk Institut
Odense Universitet
Campusvej 55
5230 Odense M
Denmark